IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:-

THE HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE MR.H.L.DATTU
&
THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.T.SANKARAN

THURSDAY, THE 24TH MAY 2007 / 3RD JYAISHTA 1929

# W.A.No.609 of 2005(A)

---

AGAINST THE ORDER IN W.P.(C).NO.171/2005-U DATED 07/03/2005

.....

**APPELLANT**/4TH RESPONDENT:-

---

V. KAVIRAJAN, PROPRIETOR,
VASU GARDENERS HARDWARES, KALLAMBALAM,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM DISTRICT.

BY ADV.SRI.ELVIN PETER P.J.
SRI.S.RAMESH
SRI.P.N.SANTHOSH
SRI.NAVEEN.T
SRI.T.G.SUNIL
SRI.C.X.ANTONY BENEDICT

**RESPONDENTS**/PETITIONERS & RESPONDENTS 1,2,3,5,6 & 7:-

---

1. P. JAYAKUMAR, CONVENOR,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM JILLA HEAD LOAD AND GENERAL
WORKERS UNION (C.I.T.U.), REG.NO.146/70,
KALLAMBALAM UNIT, TRIVANDRUM DISTRICT.

2. R. JAYAPRAKASH, CONVENOR,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM JILLA CHUMATTUTHOZHILALI
CONGRESS (I.N.T.U.C.), REG.NO.378/73,
KALLAMBALAM UNIT, TRIVANDRUM DISTRICT.

3. DISTRICT LABOUR OFFICER,
TRIVANDRUM DISTRICT, VANCHIYOOR, TRIVANDRUM.

4. ASSISTANT LABOUR OFFICER, ATTINGAL.

5. KERALA HEAD LOAD WORKERS WELFARE BOARD,
TRIVANDRUM DISTRICT COMMITTEE,
REPRESENTED BY ITS CHAIRMAN.

6. RADHAKRISHNA PILLAI, KRISHNA MANGALAM
PUTHUSSERI, KARIAGANOOR P.O., OYOOR,
KOLLAM DISTRICT.

7. VINOD KUMAR. R., PRIYA SADANAM,
   MULLARAMOODU, MANAMPOOR P.O.,
   KALLAMBALAM.

8. V. PRAKASH, VATTUVILA VEEDU,
   PUTHUSSERI MUKKU P.O., KALLAMBALAM.

R1 & R2 BY ADV.SRI.THOMAS ABRAHAM
SRI.K.B.ARUNKUMAR
R3 & R4 BY SENIOR GOVERNMENT PLEADER SMT.MEERA.
R6 TO R8 BY ADV.SRI.S.D.ASOKAN.
SRI.A.V.RAMAKRISHNA PANICKER, SC,KHLWWB
SRI.R.SATHISH KUMAR, SC, KHLWWB
SRI.RENIL ANTO KANDAMKULATHY,SC,KHLWWB

THIS WRIT APPEAL HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 24/05/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

**H.L.DATTU, C.J. & K.T.SANKARAN, J.**

---

**W.A.No.609 of 2005**

---

Dated, this the 24th day of May, 2007

**JUDGMENT**

**H.L.Dattu, C.J.**

This writ appeal is filed against an interim order passed by a learned Single Judge of this Court in W.P.(C) No.171 of 2005 dated 7th March, 2005. By the impugned order the learned Single Judge has made some interim arrangements until further orders or until the disposal of the writ petition. The interim order so passed is only an interim measure and that will not decide the rights of the parties in one way or the other. In that view of the matter we do not intend to interfere with the said interim order. Therefore, the writ appeal requires to be rejected and it is accordingly rejected. Ordered accordingly.

(**H.L.DATTU)**
**Chief Justice**

**(K.T.SANKARAN)**
**Judge**

vns